# PEDIATRICS<sup>®</sup>

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

#### Position Statement: Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Infusion in Very Young Children With Type 1 Diabetes

Erica A. Eugster, Gary Francis and and the Lawson-Wilkins Drug and Therapeutics
Committee

Pediatrics 2006;118;1244-1249 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2006-0662

This information is current as of December 26, 2006

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/118/4/e1244

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2006 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.



### Position Statement: Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Infusion in Very Young Children With Type 1 Diabetes

Erica A. Eugster, MDa, Gary Francis, MD, PhDb, and the Lawson-Wilkins Drug and Therapeutics Committee

aSection of Pediatric Endocrinology/Diabetology, Riley Hospital for Children, Department of Pediatrics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana; bDepartment of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Medical College of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia

The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

#### ABSTRACT

Insulin pump therapy has become increasingly popular for the treatment of type 1 diabetes in pediatric patients. Although significant experience has accrued with the use of this modality in older children and adolescents, much less data are available regarding continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in the very young. Policies of individual physician practices and insurance companies vary widely, and there is currently no consensus regarding the appropriateness of insulin pump therapy in the under 6 age group. However, we have witnessed in recent years a significant increase in the number of clinical trials investigating the use of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in young patients, and reports of >100 preschool-aged diabetic children treated with insulin pumps are available in the literature. Although these studies have been of relatively short duration (≤12 months), the results are remarkably consistent. Although there is no evidence that insulin pump therapy results in a sustained improvement in glycemic control in this age group, risks associated with these devices in the hands of reliable adults who are managing diabetes in very young children are low. Parental satisfaction related to the increased flexibility that continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion affords anecdotally seems to be high, although formal examination of parental stress and health-related quality of life in this setting has been minimal. Important questions remain regarding selection of appropriate candidates for insulin pump therapy, whether benefits of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion outweigh the costs, and what eventual outcomes will be in children treated with pumps from a very young age. Long-term follow-up of medical, psychological, and neurocognitive parameters in these young patients will be paramount. Our goal with this review is to summarize efficacy and safety of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in children ≤6 years of age, present potential pros and cons of using insulin pumps in this population, and propose clinical management guidelines that could be useful for both practitioners and third-party payers alike.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/ peds.2006-0662

doi:10.1542/peds.2006-0662

#### **Key Words**

diabetes, insulin pumps, children

#### Abbreviations

CSII— continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion

MDI—multiple daily injections

HbA1C—hemoglobin A1C

DKA—diabetic ketoacidosis

QoL—quality of life

Accepted for publication Jun 5, 2006

Address correspondence to Erica A. Eugster, MD, Pediatric Endocrinology, Riley Hospital, Room #5960, 702 Barnhill Dr, Indianapolis, IN 46202. E-mail: eeugster@iupui.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275). Copyright © 2006 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

HE FIRST REPORT of insulin pump therapy in children under the age of 6 was published >20 years ago. In this uncontrolled, observational study, 6 patients with poorly controlled diabetes were treated with continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) for 6 months, during which time they experienced significant improvements in glycemic control and frequency of hypoglycemic events. In the years after this initial account, the number of published reports of insulin pump therapy in pediatric patients has risen exponentially.<sup>2</sup> Although the vast majority have indicated favorable results,3-14 few have focused specifically on toddlers and preschool-aged children. Of those studies that have targeted this age range, problems with interpretation of the findings have included small sample sizes, use of a retrospective study design,15,16 and lack of a control group.17-21 Therefore, many in the field have continued to regard insulin pumps as untested or experimental therapy in the very young child with diabetes mellitus. During the last several years, however, results from a number of prospective controlled trials of CSII in very young patients have become available.

In 2004, outcomes from an initial randomized, controlled study of insulin pumps in diabetic preschoolers were published.<sup>22</sup> Children under 5 years were assigned to CSII or multiple daily injections (MDI) for 6 months. Although hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C) declined significantly in both groups of patients, no difference between groups was apparent at the end of the 6-month period. Similarly, no differences were noted in blood sugar vari-

ability, severe hypoglycemia, or episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA). This study was followed by a second report of a randomized trial in which young subjects on insulin pump therapy again were compared with a group of patients on MDI for 1 year.23 Glycemic control, quality of life (QoL), and incidence of adverse events were comparable between groups. A virtually identical study design was used in a third trial in which children aged 1 to 6 years were assigned to current MDI therapy or CSII for 6 months.24 Mean HbA1C, blood glucose levels and frequency of hypoglycemia, DKA, and hospitalization were similar between groups. Reassuringly, none of these trials reported problems with manipulation of pumps or inadvertent insulin delivery on the part of young subjects. Including uncontrolled prospective studies, results from >100 preschool-aged diabetic children treated with CSII are available in the literature and are summarized in Table 1. Given the remarkably consistent findings among these clinical trials, it seems that CSII is both safe and effective in this age group. However, evidence that insulin pump therapy a priori improves diabetes control in these children is currently lacking.

#### **CSII IN RELATION TO QOL AND PARENTAL STRESS**

The emotional toll that having a young child with diabetes extracts from adult caregivers is daunting.<sup>25</sup> Inherent challenges related to this age group include a notorious variability in food intake and activity levels, as well as diminished ability to verbalize symptoms of hypogly-

| TABLE 1 | Results From Studies of Ins | sulin Pump ' | Therapy in Very | Young Children | With Type 1 Diabetes |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|
|---------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|

| Author                              | Design   | Ν  | Age, y  | Findings   |
|-------------------------------------|--|----|---------|--|
| Bougneres et al <sup>1</sup> (1984) | Uncontrolled; 6-mo prospective trial of CSII                                       | 6  | 1.4-4.4 | Decrease in HgbA1 from 192% to 152% ( $P < .02$ )  |
| Ahern et al <sup>20</sup> (2002)    | Uncontrolled; 12-mo prospective trial of CSII                                      | 26 | <7      | Decrease in HbA1C from 7.1% to 6.5% ( $P < .02$ )  |
| Litton et al <sup>19</sup> (2002)   | Retrospective; comparison of prepump and postpump glycemic control                 | 9  | 0.8–3.3 | Decrease in HbA1C from 9.5% to 7.9% ( <i>P</i> < .001)  Decrease in hypoglycemia from 0.52 to 0.09  episodes per month   |
| Shehadeh et al <sup>17</sup> (2004) | Retrospective; 1-y multicenter comparison of prepump and postpump glycemic control | 15 | 1–6     | Decrease in HbA1C from 8.82% to 8.18% after 1 y of CSII ( <i>P</i> < .05)  No difference in hypoglycemia  Improvements in QoL scales on CSII   |
| DiMeglio et al <sup>22</sup> (2004) | Prospective; controlled trial of CSII vs MDIs for 6 mo                             | 37 | 1.8–4.7 | No difference in glycemic control or incidence of<br>severe hypoglycemia between groups<br>More mild hypoglycemia with CSII<br>No episodes of DKA  |
| Wilson et al <sup>23</sup> (2005)   | Prospective; controlled trial of CSII vs MDIs for 1 y                              | 19 | 1.7–6.1 | No difference in glycemic control, QoL, or incidence of hypoglycemia between groups  No episodes of DKA  |
| Fox et al <sup>24</sup> (2005)      | Prospective; controlled trial of CSII vs MDIs for 6 mo                             | 22 | 1–6     | No difference in glycemic control or incidence of severe hypoglycemia between groups More mild/moderate hypoglycemia with CSII Improved QoL in fathers   |
| Jeha et al <sup>21</sup> (2005)     | Uncontrolled; 6-mo prospective trial of CSI  | 10 | <6      | Decrease in HbA1C from 8.6% to 7.5% (P = .01) Decrease in blood glucose variability (P = .02) Decrease in hypoglycemia from 1.16 to 0 episodes per 24 h No difference in parental stress index |

cemia compared with older children. Parents often suffer extreme anxiety related to the perceived vulnerability of their child to devastating diabetes-related problems such as seizures, neurocognitive damage, or death. Because parental well-being has a direct impact on children's QoL, it is critical to examine these factors in relation to diabetes-management regimens. Thus far, minimal formal investigation regarding the effect of CSII on QoL has been undertaken. In older children, insulin pump therapy has been associated both with improvements14 and no differences<sup>26,27</sup> in QoL measures. In very young children, CSII has also been reported to improve QoL,15,17 to result in no difference in parental stress,28 and to particularly benefit fathers.24 Given the short duration and small sample sizes involved, however, these results must be considered preliminary. Nonetheless, the observation that nearly all families of preschool-aged children choose to continue CSII after having participated in a study is compelling.<sup>22</sup> Despite this positive impression, CSII in the hands of some parents seems (anecdotally) to exacerbate diabetes-related stress. The ability to manipulate blood sugar levels in a minute-to-minute fashion seems to lead to an inordinate frequency of blood sugar testing (up to 25 times per day in some cases) and inability to "see the forest for the trees" (personal experience and communication). This observation is supported by data suggesting that more meticulous management is associated with higher degrees of perceived stress in the caregivers of very young children with type 1 diabetes.<sup>29</sup> Clearly, additional study in this area is sorely needed.

#### **COST COMPARISON OF CSII VERSUS MDIs**

An obvious disadvantage of insulin pump therapy is cost. Depending on the insurance plan and the manufacturer, it has been estimated that CSII requires an up-front expenditure of approximately \$5000, with monthly costs totaling more than \$100.30,31 Pump supplies in addition to a MiniMed 508 pump (Medtronic Diabetes, Northridge, CA) amortized over 7 years have been projected to require \$3400 annually, in comparison to estimated annual costs of MDI of \$1800.4 Exact out-ofpocket costs for any given family will also be impacted by the percent copayment, the contracted price, and trade-up values for previously owned pumps. Table 2 provides representative estimates of currently available pumps and pump supplies as well as a description of some associated features. CSII also results in additional expense in the form of personnel, because the intensive follow-up typically provided in the days, weeks, and months after transition to CSII in young children requires many hours on the part of diabetes-team members. In the case of young school-aged children on pumps, training of multiple caregivers often represents further drain on the resources of the diabetes program, although parents can assist with this to some extent. Because most school systems lack 24/7 school nurse

coverage, education must also be provided to school personnel so that appropriate insulin dosing and pump troubleshooting can occur when parents are not physically present. Finally, given the constant shifts in the reimbursement policies and requirements of third-party payers surrounding coverage of insulin pumps, significant effort must be devoted to ensuring that the mandatory documentation, letters of medical necessity, certification of diabetes education, and other forms are continually in place. This process is only partially facilitated by the direct contact that is often established between the pump manufacturers and insurance companies. With the complexities inherent in having to navigate multiple different insurance plans simultaneously, this paperwork alone currently consumes 30% to 40% of a "pump educator's" or secretary's time. Cumulatively, these issues place a significantly greater economic burden on both families and providers than is incurred with traditional therapy.

#### **UNANSWERED QUESTIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

One of the most important aspects of prescribing insulin pumps in children is the ability to recognize the best candidates for this form of therapy. Thus far, however, attempts to identify factors that are predictive of success with CSII have proved elusive.32 An additional gap in our knowledge revolves around the question of what happens long-term to very young children treated with CSII, particularly in the context of a clinical trial. Are safety and efficacy maintained, or do episodes of DKA and severe hypoglycemia increase? How do these children fare as they progress through childhood and enter adolescence? Are there differences in diabetes-related transition-to-adulthood milestones in the context of longstanding insulin pump therapy? Does CSII confer any advantages in this vulnerable population in terms of neurocognitive function, which has been reported to be adversely affected by early-onset diabetes?33-35 In contrast, are there nutritional consequences of insulin pump therapy in childhood, such as an increased propensity for obesity?36 Does the long-term intense supervision of these children by their parents put them at greater risk for conflict during adolescence than in those managed with less-intensive regimens? Because these and multiple other questions abound, it will be essential for pediatric diabetologists to perform careful, ongoing, systematic follow-up of young children treated with insulin pumps. Whether novel treatments such as pramlintide will prove to be a useful adjunctive therapy for the maintenance of good metabolic control in the setting of CSII also remains to be seen.37

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

In consideration of the present state of knowledge regarding the use of insulin pumps in very young diabetic children, we propose the following:

e1246

content of foods can be customized for individual patients technology. Patients can trade in an older-model MiniMec The EZ manager software allows connections with personal ree software downloads pump data using radio freguency Pump can also communicate with BD Logic via infrared into a personal computer using Paradigm Pals software. The glucose meter communicates with the pump through infrared technology. Software included with the pump technology. This information can also be downloaded quick-reference guide. A database with carbohydrate provides 2-way communication, allowing the patient to program the pump and review insulin and blood computers and access to an individualized sick-day Software and Special Features pump for up to \$500.00 credit. qlucose level history. (Box of 10–12), \$ 110.00-135.00 **Supplies Cost** \$49.00 (each) \$128.50 \$110.00 \$120.00 31.00 \$36.00 \$40.00 \$25.00 125 \$135 Tape and adhesive removers Pump Supplies ilhouette infusion set JSB adapter package Sof-set infusion set Paradigm reservoii Quick-set 6 mm Cleo infusion set Pump cartridges nset infusion set Insertion sets Reservoirs Comfort Pump Cost, \$ 5975.00 5495.00 6195.00 TABLE 2 Representative Cost Estimates of Insulin Pumps as of January 2006 Insulin Capacity, U 180 and 300 300 200 300 Cozmo (comes with CoZmonitor blood glucose module and CoZmanager PC communications software) Pump Paradigm 515 or 715 Animus IR1250 Amigo Medtronic MiniMed Manufacturer Animas Deltec Nipro

- 1. All children with diabetes, regardless of age, should be considered to be potentially eligible candidates for insulin pump therapy.
- 2. The decision to implement CSII in a young patient should rest solely with that child's physician and parents or legal guardians rather than with thirdparty payers.
- 3. Every effort must be made to ensure that parents have realistic expectations of what CSII can and cannot do, as well as what will be required to safely manage their child's diabetes with this modality. All too often, media and cyberspace hype regarding insulin pumps gives parents the mistaken impression that these devices ameliorate diabetes simply by being worn or render it exceedingly easy to manage. In fact, the need for increased frequency of blood sugar testing (6-9 times per day initially) and scrupulous attention to precise carbohydrate counting and infusion-set function actually increases the diabetesrelated workload after transition to CSII.
- 4. Baseline eligibility criteria for insulin pump therapy in this age group should include having motivated parents with excellent to good compliance with diabetes care and demonstrated mastery of carbohydrate counting. Many pediatric diabetes programs also offer preparatory pump classes and require that children be on MDI and insulin/carbohydrate ratios before starting pump therapy. Introducing CSII using a saline pump can be a useful tool for familiarizing children and caregivers with the hands-on aspects of wearing an insulin pump. In the absence of clear predictors of optimal pump candidates, selecting against pump therapy is perhaps as reasonable as selecting CSII for an individual patient. CSII may be implemented on a "probationary" basis in some cases.
- 5. Research must be directed toward elucidating the immediate and long-term consequences of CSII in young children in terms of metabolic control, longterm complications, psychosocial function, nutritional status, neurocognitive outcomes, and family stress.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Compared with older children and adolescents, very young children with diabetes represent a unique population.38 Not only do they have an inherent need for a therapeutic regimen that confers maximum flexibility, but the burden of day-to-day diabetes management rests entirely on their parents and other adult caregivers. Although the immediate costs of insulin pump therapy are arguably greater, it is impossible to assign a monetary value to the improvements in QoL that the majority of parents report for their children and themselves after experiencing treatment of diabetes with CSII as com-

pared with conventional insulin therapy.<sup>39</sup> The available evidence indicates that CSII is safe and effective in this age group. Adding to this the considerable experience of numerous seasoned pediatric endocrinologists, sufficient information has been amassed to establish CSII as a viable option for select pediatric patients regardless of age. Prospective controlled studies will enhance our understanding of the risk-to-cost/benefit ratio of insulin pump therapy in young children with type 1 diabetes.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Bougneres PF, Landier F, Lemmel C, Mensire A, Chaussain JL. Insulin pump therapy in young children with type 1 diabetes. J Pediatr. 1984;105:212-217
- 2. Weinzimer SA, Doyle EA, Steffen AT, Sikes KA, Tamborlane WV. Rediscovery of insulin pump treatment of childhood type 1 diabetes. Minerva Med. 2004;95:85-92
- 3. Maniatis AK, Klingensmith GJ, Slover RH, Mowry CJ, Chase HP. Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion therapy for children and adolescents: an option for routine diabetes care. Pediatrics. 2001;107:351-356
- 4. Conrad SC, McGrath MT, Gitelman SE. Transition from multiple daily injections to continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Pediatr. 2002;140:235–240
- 5. Saha ME, Huuppone T, Mikael K, Juuti M, Komulainen J. Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in the treatment of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2002;15:1005-1010
- 6. Cohen D, Weintrob N, Benzaquen H, Galatzer A, Fayman G, Phillip M. Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion versus multiple daily injections in adolescents with type I diabetes mellitus: a randomized open crossover trial. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2003;16:1047-1050
- 7. Pankowska E, Lipka M, Wysocka M, et al. Metabolic control in young children with type 1 diabetes treated with continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (insulin pump) [in Polish]. Endokrynol Diabetol Chor Przemiany Materii Wieku Rozw. 2003;9: 11-15
- 8. Plotnick LP, Clark LM, Brancati FL, Erlinger T. Safety and effectiveness of insulin pump therapy in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2003;26:1142-1146
- 9. Sulli N, Shashaj B. Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in children and adolescents with diabetes mellitus: decreased HbA1c with low risk of hypoglycemia. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2003;16:393-399
- 10. Willi SM, Planton J, Egede L, Schwarz S. Benefits of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in children with type 1 diabetes. J Pediatr. 2003;143:796-801
- 11. Weintrob N, Benzaquen H, Galatzer A, et al. Comparison of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion and multiple daily injection regimens in children with type 1 diabetes: a randomized open crossover trial. Pediatrics. 2003;112:559-564
- 12. Alemzadeh R, Ellis JN, Holzum MK, Parton EA, Wyatt DT. Beneficial effects of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion and flexible multiple daily insulin regimen using insulin glargine in type 1 diabetes. Pediatrics. 2004;114(1). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/114/1/e91
- 13. Doyle EA, Weinzimer SA, Steffen AT, Ahern JA, Vincent M, Tamborlane WV. A randomized, prospective trial comparing the efficacy of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion with multiple daily injections using insulin glargine. Diabetes Care. 2004;27:1554-1558
- 14. McMahon SK, Airey FL, Marangou DA, et al. Insulin pump therapy in children and adolescents: improvements in key

- parameters of diabetes management including quality of life. Diabet Med. 2005;22:92-96
- 15. Weinzimer SA, Ahern JH, Doyle EA, et al. Persistence of benefits of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in very young children with type 1 diabetes: a follow-up report [published correction appears in Pediatrics. 2005;115:518]. Pediatrics. 2004;114:1601-1605
- 16. Mack-Fogg JE, Orlowski CC, Jospe N. Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in toddlers and children with type 1 diabetes mellitus is safe and effective. Pediatr Diabetes. 2005;6:
- 17. Shehadeh N, Battelino T, Galatzer A, et al. Insulin pump therapy for 1-6 year old children with type 1 diabetes. Isr Med Assoc J. 2004;6:284-286
- 18. Tubiana-Rufi N, Coutant R, Bloch J, et al. Special management of insulin lispro in continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion in young diabetic children: a randomized cross-over study. Horm Res. 2004;62:265-271
- 19. Litton J, Rice A, Friedman N, Oden J, Lee MM, Freemark M. Insulin pump therapy in toddlers and preschool children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Pediatr. 2002;141:490-495
- 20. Ahern JA, Boland EA, Doane R, et al. Insulin pump therapy in pediatrics: a therapeutic alternative to safely lower HbA1c levels across all age groups. Pediatr Diabetes. 2002;3:10-15
- 21. Jeha GS, Karaviti LP, Anderson B, et al. Insulin pump therapy in preschool children with type 1 diabetes mellitus improves glycemic control and decreases glucose excursions and the risk of hypoglycemia. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2005;7:876-884
- 22. DiMeglio LA, Pottorff TM, Boyd SR, France L, Fineberg N, Eugster EA. A randomized, controlled study of insulin pump therapy in diabetic preschoolers. J Pediatr. 2004;145:380-384
- 23. Wilson DM, Buckingham BA, Kunselman EL, Sullivan MM, Paguntalan HU, Gitelman SE. A two-center randomized controlled feasibility trial of insulin pump therapy in young children with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28:15-19
- 24. Fox LA, Buckloh LM, Smith SD, Wysocki T, Mauras N. A randomized controlled trial of insulin pump therapy in young children with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005;28: 1277-1281
- 25. Vandagriff JL, Marrero DG, Ingersoll GM, Fineberg NS. Parents of children with diabetes: what are they worried about? Diabetes Educ. 1992;18:299-302
- 26. Slijper FM, De Beaufort CE, Bruining GJ, et al. Psychological impact of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion pump therapy in non-selected newly diagnosed insulin dependent (type 1) diabetic children: evaluation after two years of therapy. Diabete Metab. 1990;16:273-277
- 27. O'Neil KJ, Jonnalagadda SS, Hopkins BL, Kicklighter JR. Quality of life and diabetes knowledge of young persons with type 1 diabetes: influence of treatment modalities and demographics. J Am Diet Assoc. 2005;105:85-91
- 28. Jeha GS, Karaviti LP, Anderson B, et al. Insulin pump therapy in preschool children with type 1 diabetes mellitus improves glycemic control and decreases glucose excursions and the risk of hypoglycemia. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2005;7:876-884
- 29. Stallwood L. Influence of caregiver stress and coping on glycemic control of young children with diabetes. J Pediatr Health Care. 2005;19:293-300
- 30. Schade DS, Valentine V. To pump or not to pump. Diabetes Care. 2002:25:2100-2102
- 31. Kanakis SJ, Watts C, Leichter SB. The business of insulin pumps in diabetes care: clinical and economic considerations. Clin Diabetes. 2002;20:214-216
- 32. Nabhan ZM, Rardin L, Meier J, Eugster EA, Dimeglio LA. Predictors of glycemic control on insulin pump therapy in children and adolescents with type I diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2006; In press

e1248

- 33. Ryan C, Vega A, Drash A. Cognitive deficits in adolescents who developed diabetes early in life. *Pediatrics*. 1985;75: 921–927
- 34. Rovet JF, Ehrlich RM, Hoppe M. Intellectual deficits associated with early onset of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in children. *Diabetes Care*. 1987;10:510–515
- 35. Hagen JW, Barclay CR, Anderson BJ, et al. Intellective functioning and strategy use in children with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Child Dev.* 1990;61:1714–1727
- Weissberg-Benchell J, Antisdel-Lomaglio J, Seshadri R. Insulin pump therapy: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26: 1079–1087
- 37. Heptulla RA, Rodriguez LM, Bomgaars L, Haymond MW. The role of amylin and glucagon in the dampening of glycemic excursions in children with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2005;54: 1100–1107
- DiMeglio LA, Boyd SR, Pottorff TM, Cleveland JL, Fineberg N, Eugster EA. Preschoolers are not miniature adolescents: a comparison of insulin pump doses in two groups of children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab.* 2004;17: 865–870
- Sullivan-Bolyai S, Knafl K, Tamborlane W, Grey M. Parents' reflections on managing their children's diabetes with insulin pumps. *J Nurs Scholarsh*. 2004;36:316–323

## Position Statement: Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Infusion in Very Young Children With Type 1 Diabetes

Erica A. Eugster, Gary Francis and and the Lawson-Wilkins Drug and Therapeutics

Committee *Pediatrics* 2006;118;1244-1249

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2006-0662

#### This information is current as of December 26, 2006

**Updated Information** including high-resolution figures, can be found at: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/118/4/e1244

**References** This article cites 37 articles, 13 of which you can access for free

at:

http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/118/4/e1244#BIBL

**Subspecialty Collections** This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the

following collection(s):

Endocrinology

http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/collection/endocrinology

**Permissions & Licensing** Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,

tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/Permissions.shtml

**Reprints** Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/reprints.shtml

